

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ERICK MARQUIS BROWN,

Petitioner,

VS.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, SR., *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00194-JCM-CWH

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244, by a Nevada state prisoner.

Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 3). Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006(a)(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines that the “interests of justice” require representation. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; see also

1 *Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The petition on file in this action is well-written
2 and sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case
3 are not complex. It does not appear that counsel is justified in this instance. Petitioner's motion for
4 the appointment of counsel is denied.

5 Petitioner has paid the filing fee for this action. (ECF No. 2). The petition shall now be
6 filed and served on respondents. A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for
7 relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may
8 be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. §2254(b)
9 (successive petitions).

10 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel
11 (ECF No. 3) is **DENIED**.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the clerk shall **FILE and ELECTRONICALLY
13 SERVE** the petition (ECF No. 1) upon the respondents.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **forty-five (45)** days from entry
15 of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other
16 response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the petition. Respondents shall raise all
17 potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and
18 procedural default. **Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained**. If an answer is filed,
19 respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in
20 the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have
21 **forty-five (45) days** from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall
23 be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy
24 of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the **Reno**
25 division of the clerk of court.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the attorney
27 general of the state of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for
28 consideration by the court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a

1 certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the attorney
2 general. The court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After
3 respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular deputy
4 attorney general assigned to the case.

5 Dated July 25, 2014.
6

7 
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28